A case of trigeminal-vagal neuralgia relieved by peripheral self-stimulation

Vincenzo Bonicalzi and Sergio Canavero
Department of Neurosciences, Ospedale Molinette, Via Cherasco 15, 10126 Torino, Italy

Abstract

A case of simultaneous trigeminal and vagoglossopharyngeal neuralgia is described. No microvascular compression was seen at the trigeminal complex while the origin of vagoglossopharyngeal pain could have been due either to Chiari malformation or microvascular compression of the IX-X nerve complex. Decompressive surgery was ineffective. The patient could completely block his facial painful fits by strongly pinching the anterior axillary fold.

This case militates against peripheral theories of facial neuralgias, including microvascular compression and ganglion ignition focus theories, and supports a central origin thereof.
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Introduction

Idiopathic trigeminal neuralgia (ITN) (tic douloureux) is characterized by “sudden, usually unilateral, severe brief stabbing recurrent pains in the distribution of one or more branches of the Vth cranial nerve” (Merskey and Bogduk, 1994). Pain of ITN is extremely severe and it is usually described by patients as sharp, agonizing electric shock-like stabs felt superficially in the skin or oral mucosa. Attacks are generally of brief duration (few seconds, rarely up to 1-2 minutes) and are followed by a refractory period of up to a few minutes. Light tactile stimulation of more or less restricted sites within the area of trigeminal innervation (trigger points) may set off pain. ITN has a characteristic periodicity, with episodes occurring for weeks to months followed by spontaneous remission that may last months or years. In time, pain paroxysms may become more frequent and severe.

The genesis of ITN is unknown, but is generally believed to be due to demyelination of sensory fibers within the nerve root resulting from microvascular compression (MVC) at the trigeminal root entry zone (REZ) by both pulsating arteries or veins. This theory has gained almost universal acceptance in the neurosurgical literature. Microvascular decompression (MVD) is the standard operation for relief of ITN, generally following magnetic resonance (MR) angiography. MVD is performed through a small retromastoid craniectomy, under general anesthesia. Any compressive vessel is isolated and separated from the neural elements. Pain relief is complete in about 80% of cases immediately after operation, but only about two thirds of the patients are still drawing benefit at 10 years (Barker, 1996).

The MVC hypothesis draws support from the efficacy of surgical decompression, but this hypothesis collapses on the basis of astute clinical observations (Adams, 1989; Canavero et al., 1997; Sweet, 1998; Bonicalzi and Canavero, 2000), including the disappearance of ITN for 8 years after MVD in a patient without MVC (Sweet, 1998).

We recently experienced a patient with long standing ITN and no evidence of MVC who could systematically and reproducibly block his attacks by intense mechanical stimulation in the C4-C8 territory.

Case report

This 70 year-old hypertensive hypoacusic man has complained of paroxysmal, shock-like painful fits involving the left trigeminal territory (branches II and III) plus a cutaneous area between the mandibular angle and the left hyoid horn, for about 30 years. Fits never involved the tonsillar sinus, the tongue or the acoustic meatus. The pain either awoke him from night sleep or prevented it. Over the past 20 years, cycles of carbamazepine (CBZ) up to 1200 mg reduced both frequency and intensity of the attacks. Gabapentin up to 1000 mg was ineffective. Instead, the patient found out that strong pinching of the left anterior axillary fold or – more rarely – left wrist between thumb and remaining fingers blocked the fits as they started. Three left percutaneous glycerolizations over three years (1996, 1997 and 1998) each afforded complete relief for 9-10 months. A fourth one in March 2000 was ineffective.

The neurological examination disclosed bilateral hypoacusia, slurred speech (due to pain), slight gait
Discussion

Our patient suffered from typical ITN affecting the left II and III branches plus a left superior laryngeal neuralgia (a variant of vagoglossopharyngeal neuralgia) with simultaneous painful activation (an already reported combination, see in Loeser, 2001).

Nocturnal attacks in patients suffering from long-lasting ITN are not uncommon (Sjastaad et al., 1997). The pain referred to the angle of mandible could also be due to Chiari compression at olivary level. Chiari malformation is known to associate with painful facial syndromes (Kanpolat et al., 2001), but in our patient operation did not afford pain relief. While MVC of the IX and X cranial nerves rootlets was also found, MVD was ineffective.

This is the first reported case of ITN relieved by mechanical compression outside the trigeminal territory. Weber (quoted in Sweet, 1998) reported a patient suffering from a typical left second division neuralgia that could stop her pain by rubbing her left cheek with a wool cloth for about half a minute. Repetitive rubbing induced atrophy and pigmentation of her cheek. Sweet (1998) also reported a patient with MS-associated TN in whom pain spread from the trigeminal area to the ipsilateral radial forearm or to the shoulder and arm to elbow. There are sparse reports of ITN triggered by stimuli in remote locations (Loeser, 2001) but secondary activation of appropriate trigger areas cannot be ruled out. Our patient could unmistakably and reproducibly abolish his pain by mechanical stimulation of distant areas.

A recent peripheral theory of ITN, so-called trigeminal ganglion ignition focus (TGIF) theory, which also incorporates the MVC speculation, shifts the main generator of pain paroxysms in the gasserian ganglion (Rappaport and Devor, 1994). The TGIF theory states that trigger stimuli set off burst of activity in a small cluster of trigeminal ganglion neurons rendered hyperexcitable as a result of trigeminal ganglion or root damage, thus spreading from this TGIF to encompass wider portions of the ganglion. After a brief period of autonomous firing, refractoriness to further spontaneous activity ensues, due to an intrinsic hyperpolarizing process. According to this theory the primary abnormality resides in the trigeminal ganglion and root, rather than in the skin or the CNS, and, according to the originators, it would collapse if trigger areas of typical trigeminal pain would be found outside the trigeminal territory.

In the above-described case, the TGIF theory cannot explain the simultaneous trigeminal-vagoglossopharyngeal pain and its inhibition by mechanical stimulation of distant areas. A trigemino-vagoglossopharyngeal discharge can be modulated by tactile/mechanical afferences travelling in high cervical roots only at central level.
An alternative theory, starting with Trouseau’s suggestion that ITN should be termed epileptiform neuralgia, states that ITN has a central cause, with associated failure of intranuclear inhibition (Fromm et al., 1981; 1984). If we accept that ITN and glossopharyngeal neuralgia might be some sort of hyperfunctional disorder of the brain stem (as central theories do) the above-described pain-blocking effect from strong mechanical stimulation can be explained by active inhibition (“gate-like”) of an anomalous generator: a strong volley of peripheral impulses may quench the trigeminal focus, just like surgical manipulation of the cranial nerves during MVD (Adams, 1989; Canavero et al., 1995; Canavero et al., 1997).

In conclusion, this report challenges both the MVC and ganglion ignition focus theories and supports a central origin of TN and VGPN.
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